

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Cardno
Given Name	Michelle
Person ID	1285871
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1285871_Image.jpg PFE1285871_Video.mp4
Family Name	Cardno
Given Name	Michelle
Person ID	1285871
Title	Our Vision
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1285871_Video.mp4 PFE1285871_Image.jpg
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	The plan is a vision its unsupported by real research into the provision of the infrastructure required to support it to prevent mass overcrowding to public services, roads, pollution and the effects of the destruction to our open places, wildlife and countryside/trees. Nothing appears ringfenced, once building companies have planning what is to say they will have any check and balance on the completion of the said promised additional infrastructure. Making pretty pamphlets with pictures of swans on little streams is all very well but how many actually follow through and do this after buildings are up and sold? Who will check? Looking over at other buildings in the area including the apartments at top of hulme St bl8 bury and facing Tottington Road an example. The planning letters included lovely landscaped areas of the inlet with swans and greenery in order to entice locals to vote through planning, as they were sick of the dumping ground and rubbish and it needed clean up. The builders NEVER touched the land and its now the worst sight and probably in a worse state than it would ever have been left in hands of council!
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance	Leave the sacred greenbelt alone, leave the villages alone, they are too small to cope with this adventurous plan and it will ruin them beyond repair.

or soundness matters you have identified above.	
Family Name	Cardno
Given Name	Michelle
Person ID	1285871
Title	Our Strategic Objectives
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1285871_Image.jpg PFE1285871_Video.mp4
Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:	8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	I stable my 2 horses on the walshaw fields behind the walshaw church, there are 30 horses there, we have regular sightings of deer and stags, rabbits, foxes. People have walked through these fields and enjoyed this wildlife and the farm animals for many many years, since I was a kid. Destroying this natural greenbelt will not only ruin this for everyone who enjoys green space and locals, but it will have a huge impact on the habitat. Where will they all go? Are you orbiting safe space for them when you destroy there homes and replace with grey concrete?
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Unsound in years to come you will face legal challenge but it will be too late to bring back the horses and wildlife and fauna..
Family Name	Cardno
Given Name	Michelle

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Person ID	1285871
Title	Our Spatial Strategy
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1285871_Video.mp4 PFE1285871_Image.jpg
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	I believe you are lacking consultation and have not considered allowing disabled people"s restrictions and therefore their ability to engage in this consultation, understand it and also give their thoughts. Anyone without an enhanced educational will struggle to understand this, the terminology and navigation of this complex document which appears to be confusing to everyone including myself a lawyer dealing with documents daily. It is deliberately confusing and hard to understand what is required and lengthy. Anyone with sight impairment, learning disability, concentration issues or without enhanced computer prowess will be likely detrimented and disadvantaged. I feel you are legally breaching the Equality Act 2010 s 20 by excluding most of the disabled elderly residents in the area knowing full well Judicial Review of your actions will take years.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Make this consultation fit for purpose, spend money aimplyfing it for the layperson to understand and be able to respond. Stop sitting in your ivory tower thinking nobody will challenge the legality sooner rather than later, and mostly listen to the people of Bury, the real consultation is going on on the Facebook page bury folk keep it green and in the gardens of the many residents and businesses with plaques opposing the plan. Have you put boots on the ground to actually speak to the people that matter? No you have failed to consult fairly and to everyone.
Family Name	Cardno
Given Name	Michelle
Person ID	1285871
Title	JP-Strat 1 Core Growth Area
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1285871_Image.jpg PFE1285871_Video.mp4
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>As stated above. This repetitive consultation document is designed to confuse and exclude those that matter the layperson and residents. It fails to abide by domestic legislation.</p> <p>As for the consultation who are you appealing to here when most residents do not understand your document nor are we just going to believe a promise yo increase the infrastructure. You cannot get near Elton High School unless you live les than quarter of a mile away. Where is the ringfenced money for new schools? Doctors? Dentists? Contractual agreements are non existent and smoke and mirrors are often used at the planning stage to convince residents to lay low and provide the but if you let us build we will do this for you etc approach.</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Make the consultation a real consultation, understandable to all and give a fair opportunity to respond and stop ignoring the growing voice of residents and businesses in the area. Aside from everything else we do not want our village in Walshaw to turn into a permanent building site for years. I own a business in walshaw employing 12 people I will not be driving past that and expecting staff to get stuck in the traffic of roadworks each day. We will move to rossendale and our many visitors from all over the country will miss out on their trip to bury market. I also have lived in the area all my life and stabled my horses in walshaw these are being moved now and I'm looking at moving out of the area as cannot imagine living on a building site where once there were fields. I'm not alone. Walahaw will be like a sinking ship and ruined for everyone.
Family Name	Cardno
Given Name	Michelle
Person ID	1285871
Title	JP-Strat 2 City Centre
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1285871_Video.mp4 PFE1285871_Image.jpg
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant,	Why has walshaw and Elton most of the proposed plan in a small area it is a disproportionate amount and will destroy the area. Little greenery left and bridleways and footpaths lost forever. Why not develop the brownfield sites which are far less populated and used as an eyesore.

is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	As above thus survey is very tedious and designed to put people off. I've been doing this an hour and as a person with 2 degrees and consider myself educated, I'm finding this extremely hard and tedious and repetitive. I want to finish it but how many cannot?
Family Name	Cardno
Given Name	Michelle
Person ID	1285871
Title	JP-Strat 3 The Quays
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1285871_Image.jpg PFE1285871_Video.mp4
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	As above.
Family Name	Cardno
Given Name	Michelle
Person ID	1285871
Title	JP-Strat 8 Wigan Bolton Growth Corridor
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1285871_Video.mp4 PFE1285871_Image.jpg

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Is this a deliberate act to deter people from commenting about places that are of no interest to the local residents put them off completing this consult?
Family Name	Cardno
Given Name	Michelle
Person ID	1285871
Title	JP-J 1 Supporting Long Term Economic Growth
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1285871_Image.jpg PFE1285871_Video.mp4
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Businesses will move from the area as will not want the travel disruption for staff and visitors of years of building then treble traffic on our roads. The ridiculously priced houses will need 2 main workers at least to sustain the mortgages meaning likely 2 or even 3 cars per house meaning huge increase in traffic to our already clogged roads.. ill be moving my business for one.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	As above
Family Name	Cardno
Given Name	Michelle
Person ID	1285871

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Title	JP-J 2 Employment Sites and Premises
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1285871_Video.mp4 PFE1285871_Image.jpg
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	You have not consulted with local businesses to see if they will embrace this increase and stay?
Family Name	Cardno
Given Name	Michelle
Person ID	1285871
Title	JP-J 3 Office Development
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1285871_Image.jpg PFE1285871_Video.mp4
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to	Repetitive questions refer to previous answers lack of fair consultation with businesses and residents

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Family Name	Cardno
Given Name	Michelle
Person ID	1285871
Title	JPA 7: Elton Reservoir Area
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1285871_Video.mp4 PFE1285871_Image.jpg
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Walshaw and Elton particularly have little open space and the wildlife will have nowhere to go as will the horses and walkers etc. You have not considered the real impact on its tiny roads already congested on school days.unless you knock down terraced houses and shops encourage and widen the 2 small roads in and out of the village you are going to ruin the area. Elton has much fauna and wildlife again. I have lived worked and had my businesses and my horses here for 45 years and am appalled at your plans from every point of viewpoint. The spaces you propose "affordable" houses of 350k will not tolerate it. It will destroy walshaw, sinister and Elton for good.. listen to the voice of the Borough the residents, the business owners who indirectly pay your wages and vote you in or out. They will be reminded of your mistake every day for the rest of their tike here once you start building. REDACTED TEXT is an estate agent in the area and houses are now going up for sale at the fear, businesses like mine are beginning to look at viability of moving to rossendqlr and surrounding places with greenery left and people will oppose even at this early stage.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	What is the point of a consultation document nobody understands and hardly anyone has the willpower to finish. I'm an hour in now on 2nd attempt and I'm losing the will. I strongly object to losing our countryside and have a lot to lose of the plans are put into fruition but I'm struggling to complete this mammoth task of a document!
Family Name	Cardno
Given Name	Michelle
Person ID	1285871
Title	JPA 8: Seedfield

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Type	Web
Include files	PFE1285871_Image.jpg PFE1285871_Video.mp4
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Failure to fairly consult with everyone including disabled.
Family Name	Cardno
Given Name	Michelle
Person ID	1285871
Title	JPA 9: Walshaw
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1285871_Video.mp4 PFE1285871_Image.jpg
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Failure to consult with locals, businesses and horse owners. Those in the area with school age children, serviceusers of surgeries, dentists already all full.

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Taking away our protected greenbelt,ruining fauna and protected species homes, we have bats, frogs, deer and old protected oaks. Where are the consultations on these?
Family Name	Cardno
Given Name	Michelle
Person ID	1285871
Title	Other Comments
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1285871_Video.mp4 PFE1285871_Image.jpg
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA